

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/059,136	Applicant(s) NAKASUGA ET AL.	
	Examiner John T. Haran	Art Unit 1733	

All Participants:

(1) John T. Haran.

(2) Daniel Geselowitz.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 22 April 2004
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed that the examiner would amend claim 17 to include the listing of 10 compounds that applicant inadvertently forgot to include in the claim in the amendment filed on 3/14/04

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)